PRESENTERS



Tiana Epati, Rishworth Wall & Mathieson, Gisborne

Tiana is a partner at Rishworth Wall & Mathieson where she specialises in criminal defence and general litigation. In 2015 she was appointed a Youth Advocate. Tiana currently sits on the New Zealand Law Society Board as Vice President for Central North Island. She is a member of the New Zealand Law Society's Women's Advisory Panel and Criminal Law Reform Committee. Tiana is also a member of the external sub-committee to the Legislation Design and Advisory Council (LDAC). Previously, she worked as a Crown Prosecutor in both Auckland and Wellington.

Fiona Guy Kidd, AWS Legal, Invercargill



Fiona holds an LLB (Hons, first class) from the University of Otago and an LLM from the University of Virginia. She has a background in both civil and criminal litigation, having worked at Russell McVeagh, as a junior barrister to a commercial silk, Crown Prosecutor followed by 10 years as Crown Counsel/team leader in the Criminal Team at Crown Law. For the last five years Fiona has led a team of criminal defence specialists.

The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

Cover and text stocks used in this publication are from Forestry Stewardship Council certified mills, manufactured under the environmentally responsible paper manufactured environmental management system ISO 14001, using pulp from well managed forests and other controlled sources.

CONTENTS

| 1. | DEFENCE EVIDENCE – PRE-TRIAL STATEMENTS, SILENCE AND THE DEFENDA ADDUCING EVIDENCE | |
|----|---|----|
| | COMMENT ON PRE-TRIAL SILENCE | 1 |
| | COMMENT ON SILENCE AT TRIAL | |
| | ADDUCING PRE-TRIAL STATEMENTS AND DEFENCE EVIDENCE | |
| | Once it's in, it's in for all purposes | 7 |
| | The power to require the prosecution to call evidence Requiring the prosecution to call a witness essential to the narrative | / |
| 2. | | |
| 3. | PRIOR CONSISTENT STATEMENTS – THE CONTINUING SAGA OF S 35 | 15 |
| | REMOVAL OF THE WORD "RECENT"- S 35(2)(A) | |
| | To the extent necessary – s 35(2)(A) | |
| | THE TIMING OF A CHALLENGE TO VERACITY OR ACCURACY – S 35(2)(A) | |
| | FORMS AN INTEGRAL PART OF THE EVENTS BEFORE THE COURT – S $35(2)(B)$ | |
| | CONSISTS OF THE MERE FACT THAT A COMPLAINT HAS BEEN MADE – S 35(2)(C) JUDICIAL DIRECTION AS TO USE | |
| 4. | | |
| | WHEN IS IT VISUAL IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE? | |
| | WHEN IS IT VISUAL IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE / | |
| | TIMING OF A FORMAL PROCEDURE AND CIRCUMSTANCES TO BE CONSIDERED FOR RELIABILITY | |
| 5. | VOICE IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE | 29 |
| | WARNINGS – SECTION 126 | 33 |
| 6. | CUT-THROAT DEFENCES – THE RULES | 35 |
| | CO-DEFENDANT'S VERACITY | |
| | CO-DEFENDANT'S PROPENSITY | |
| | THE RIGHT OF A CO-DEFENDANT TO OFFER AN EFFECTIVE DEFENCE | |